Strahlman, Dr.L. 1-2-48
508 Medico Dent Bldg(1)

"IN HOC SIGNO VINCES"

THE BROOM

"You Shall Know the Truth"

War is the sum-total of all crimes. Left to themselves no people would make war. War-makers are heartless, cunning, greedy All wars are for booty. The U. S. A. engaged in wars for the booty of the Allies and the destruction of free government by the people, of the people, for the people. Wars bring slavery and conscription, debts and poverty for unborn generations even.

* * * *

We all admire a man who says what he thinks — as long as he says it about other people.

VOLUME XVII. No. 34 EAST SAN DIEGO (5), CALIFORNIA MONDAY, MAY 26, 1947 *$4 per year; Single Copy 10 Cts.*

G. O. P. Betrays People's Mandate

Hon. Charles A. Halleck,
House Office Bldg.,
Washington, D. C.

Dear Representative Halleck:

Last night I listened to the radio address in which you attempted to defend the record of the Republican party in Congress since the electorate put them in power on November 5.

The Republicans were given a majority by the voters primarily as a protest against the administration's treasonable foreign policy, and it was expected that, upon taking office, they would immediately, by joint-resolution, declare the war at an end; deprive the President of his autocratic war powers; expose the full depths of Franklin Roosevelt's infamy in plotting with Winston Churchill to put this country into a war that was none of our affair; and withdraw our troops from every section of the globe with the determination to get out of Europe and stay out, as advised by the founding fathers.

The betrayal of this nation by the Republican leadership in House and Senate through the so-called "bi-partisan" foreign policy—a policy of treason to the United States—indicates they are gripped by a moral corruption so great that they would sacrifice their nation to their political careers through fear of threats from the administration and the moneyed interests of Wall Street.

The craven surrender to the latest scheme for international meddling and world-wide boondoggling, promulgated by President Truman, but invented by the same old Roosevelt brain trust conspirators, brands the cowardly and unpatriotic Republicans who support the plan as parties to the plot to destroy our national heritage, spread the cause of communism (under the pretext of stopping it) and plunge this nation into another war that will be the end of this Republic.

You Republicans must be proud of yourselves!

Very truly yours,
CHARLES PARSONS

* * * *

Hon. Arthur H. Vandenberg,
Senate Office Bldg.,
Washington, D. C.

Dear Senator Vandenberg:

It was not necessary for you to send me a copy of your speech in support of David E. Lilienthal's (sic) appointment to the Atomic Energy Commission.

I heard the speech, as well as the enthusiastic applause with which it was received by the communists with whom the galleries were packed.

In contrast, the patriotic plea in behalf of this nation's safety by that great American, John W. Bricker, was greeted with stony silence and was not even printed in the administration-controlled press.

The debate on the floor showed that the obviously packed Senate Atomic Committee had failed to call witnesses adverse to Mr. Lilienthal, and had asked for no probe into the activities of that staunch New Dealer who is known to have permitted a communistic cell in T. V. A., who was a sponsor of a communist-front organization; and who had shown contempt for American traditions and the United States Congress.

The insidious influence of the alien-born and alien-minded Felix Frankfurter and his State Department protege, Dean Acheson, was apparent when the President nominated Mr. Lilienthal for political reasons just before the November election.

The twenty Republican Senators who voted for Mr. Lilienthal's confirmation, not only imperiled this nation, but betrayed the American people who put their party in control of Congress.

Are you New Deal Republicans running Harry S. Truman for President in 1948?

Very truly yours,
CHARLES PARSONS

* * * *

Hon. J. Parnell Thomas, Chairman,
Committee on Un-American Activities,
House Office Bldg.,
Washington, D. C.

Dear Representative Thomas:

Nothing will be done to prosecute communists while the Truman administration is in office, nor will communists be thrown out of the key positions they hold in the government.

It is nonsense for you to talk about going over the head of the Attorney General, since it is at President Truman's orders that Mr. Clark has failed to prosecute.

Why has your committee failed to make public its report on the State Department?

I know that the committee under the chairmanship of Representative John S. Wood voted unanimously to investigate the State Department, despite the attempt by the unspeakable Sam Rayburn to sabotage the committee's work by appointing to it several members hostile to its purposes.

What a joke it is for your committee to go after the small fry while Felix Frankfurter still sits on the Supreme Court and Dean Acheson still holds sway in the State Department!

It is your duty to expose on the floor of the House the hypocrisy of President Truman's pretense that we should stop the spread of communism in foreign lands when his appointees do nothing to curb it here at home.

If you explain the facts, the House should overwhelmingly defeat the President's scheme to squander more of the taxpayers' money in foreign adventures for the purpose of creating crises to keep himself in office.

I think you must know it is planned to staff the Greek relief agency with ex-O. P. A. and ex-U. N. R. R. A. officials. Can you imagine them fighting communism?

"If Satan ever laughs, he must laugh at hypocrites, for they are his greatest dupes."

Very truly yours,
CHARLES PARSONS

* * * *

Arthur Hays Sulzberger, Publisher,
The New York Times, etc.

Dear Sir:

You are reported to have said that "freedom of the press is imperiled".

Freedom of the press is practically non-existent in the United States, the newspapers, with few exceptions, being controlled by the government through intimidation and by the oil and banking interests through bribery.

The newspapers of the Eastern Seaboard, and to only a slightly lesser degree those of the Western Coast, are in the hands of internationalists ever willing to sacrifice the United States in the interest of foreign countries.

When you urge that every newspaper inform its readers "on all sides of every important issue", I should like to ask if the paper you publish has followed that admirable precept?

Did the "New York Times" inform its readers of the plot between Franklin Roosevelt and Winston Churchill to put this country into war, hatched between them six months before the President campaigned on a promise to keep us out of war, or did this paper suppress the facts concerning the patriot Tyler Kent who was tried in camera and unjustly sent to jail for five years because he deciphered the cables between those two blackguards and planned to inform the United States Senate of Roosevelt's treachery?

Did the "New York Times" inform its readers of the so-called "Sedition" trial, by which 29 poor and unfriended citizens of the United States were persecuted and mentally tortured by the command of traitor Roosevelt and his communistic cohorts because they opposed Americans being sent to their deaths in a war that was none of our affair, or did this paper join the hue and cry of those alien agitators and radio rats that demanded their conviction and cried for their blood?

Did the "New York Times" inform its readers of the connivance between Cordell Hull, Henry L. Stimson, Frank Knox, George C. Marshall and Harold Stark to cover up the crime of "Pearl Harbor" whose trail led directly to the White House, as proved during the sessions of the joint Senate and House Inquiry Committee, or did this paper shield the arch-criminal and his collaborators by supporting the dishonest Roberts report that made scape-goats of Admiral Kimmel and General Short?

When John Swinton of the "New York Times" was given a farewell banquet on his retirement, he made a remarkable speech:

"There is no such thing in America," he said, "as an independent press. You know it as I know it. There is not one of you who would dare write his honest opinion, and if you did, you would never appear in print. The business of the journalist is to destroy the truth, to lie outright, to pervert, to vilify, to fawn at the fee of Mammon and to sell himself, his country and his race for his daily bread. You know this and I know it, and what folly is this to be toasting 'an independent press.'

"I am paid," he continued, "$250 a week to keep my honest opinions out of the paper I am connected with. Others of you are paid similar salaries for similar work. We are the tools and vassals of rich men behind the scenes. We are jumping-jacks—they pull the strings and we dance. Our talents, our possibilities and our lives are the properties of these men. We are intellectual prostitutes."

Very truly yours,
CHARLES PARSONS

—o0o—

THE ALLIED WAR CRIMINALS

Count 3, Section J, of the Nuremberg indictment reads: "In certain occupied territories, purportedly annexed to Germany, the defendants (Nazi officials) methodically and according to plan endeavored to assimilate those territories politically, culturally, socially, and economically to the German Reich, and the defendants endeavored to obliterate the former national character of these territories. In pursuance of these plans and endeavors, the defendants forcibly deported inhabitants who were predominantly non-German and introduced thousands of German colonists."

If we substitute "Slavic" for "German" and instead of thousands we speak of millions, the Nuremberg indictment would be an adequate description of what is going on today under our very eyes under Allied jurisdiction.

We have not yet heard that anyone has proposed to indict those responsible for the present horrors. The world press, which considered the Nuremberg trials front-page news for months, has maintained an almost complete if somewhat embarrassed silence.

—LEWIS COSER.

—o0o—

Birkhead Held for Grand Jury in New York

Red Negro Paper, "People's Voice" Attacks BROOM *with Falsehoods*

NEW YORK, May 16, (Special). — Leon M. Birkhead, the notorious head of the so-called "Friends of Democracy" and sponsor and employer of Avedis Boghus Akhassian (Roy Carlson of "Under Cover"), is at last in hot water.

Magistrate Van Horn handed down his decision this week, holding that Birkhead had criminally libelled Ex-Alderman Lambert Fairchild, and turned defendant Birkhead over to the grand jury for indictment, in the meantime releasing him in the custody of his attorney.

When the grand jury has returned the indictment, Birkhead will be brought to trial before a high judge and a jury of his peers. If convicted, he will face imprisonment and/or a fine.

"P. V.'S" WILD CHARGES

The Red Negro weekly, "People's Voice", formerly edited by Congressman Adam Powell, has a rough-speaking "spy" reporter of the Jewish faith, by name of Lucille Boehm. She had a hot article in "P. V." on May 10, attacking "THE BROOM", with the heading: "Of No Interest to FBI: **Hate Sheet Slanders Negroes, Jews and Catholics".**

Miss Boehm is the enterprising reporter (also for "PM" and other left-wing papers), who admits hiding herself in the "ladies' room" of a hall where a meeting of German-American citizens was being held and reported her notes on a scientific speech by Ernest F. Elmhurst, the writer, on the subject of the different types of blood, A, O, B, etc.

"THE BROOM" has never editorially called for the organization of a "National Association for the Advancement of White People", altho this has been suggested by one or two of the paper's columnists or correspondents.

Except for the quotations from the writings of Presidents Jefferson and Lincoln, there have been no articles advocating colonization of the Negro in Africa or elsewhere. Nor has there been any advocacy of a denial to Negroes or anyone else of their Constitutional rights.

* * * *

Why Should it be a Crime for White People to Organize?

SAN DIEGO,—I have received a number of copies of Negro weekly publications which carry the same B'nai B'rith-Communist rabble rousing line of articles and editorials, charging THE BROOM with advocacy of an **"Association for the Advancement of White People"** as a defense against encroachment by Jewish, Communist and Negro organizations, such as the Association for the Advancement of Colored People, etc. For example, the **California Eagle** of Los Angeles, after practically copying editorially the language of Lucille Boehm, a Jewish agitator, adds a call to arms for the Negroes to join **THE DAILY WORKER** in the Communist attack on "de Aryan's" newspaper. It gives away the source of inspiration of the smear campaign.

The joke, though, is on the editors of those Negro papers who failed to read THE BROOM before giving space to the Jewish-Communist smear. **Ira Calvin White** suggested the formation of an "AAWP" **along the same lines** as the "NAACP" for colored people. Yet, immediately, the protest appears in the Negro papers with the added demand that the FBI suppress such an organization. This has suddenly appeared in every Metropolitan Negro publication. What does it mean? **Is the NAACP a criminal organization** and THEREFORE the Negroes are afraid that if the Whites were to do what the Communists within the NAACP are doing the FBI should step in and stop it? And that is exactly the point!

But the joke is on the poor Negro dupes of the Jewish Communists, because instead of taking Ira Calvin White's suggestion seriously, I waited to see if anyone replied to the suggestion put forth in Washington Adams **Federal City** column, where it had originally appeared. Nobody answered. I, then, published a sarcastic article on where the white Aryans were, for, to be an Aryan one must not have mixed blood, while practically all whites mix their blood with hogs, cattle, chickens and even fish; hence where is there any Aryan left? They are all suckers, evidently.

We always enjoy being given national and international notice because it increases our circulation. That we are being smeared by distorted statements makes no difference. Barnum said: "Never mind what they say, as long as they keep on talking about us." That is how THE BROOM has been transformed from a San Diego county weekly into a national and international forum. Or, as Lucille Boehm informs her readers, THE BROOM has over 2000 circulation in Yorkville alone. Well, thanks Lucille! You tell them!

But what we want you to observe is this. The Jews, the Commies, the Negroes insist on their right to organize, which they certainly have under our Constitution that THE BROOM will continue to uphold, but as soon as **Ira Calvin White** urges parallel activity on the part of the whites, in come the Negro papers, with the B'nai B'rith-Communist smear lines and holler: "Gewalt, Oi-oi-oi, Help, FBI, Help; the WHITES are called to do to us what we are doing to them! Help, protect us!"

RUSS AUDITORIUM, SAN DIEGO

You are cordially invited to attend a free showing of the technicolor and sound motion picture:

"Infantile Paralysis Finds Its Cure"
May 29th, 8:00 P. M.

Done in color and sound, this motion picture graphically illustrates the amazing advances made in the fight against Infantile Paralysis. Come and bring your friends. Remember, you need no ticket— there is no charge.

(Sponsored by the San Diego Chiropractic Association)

Referee Brink Disqualifies Attorney Warren Olney III

LOS ANGELES,—Former Special Asst. Atty. to "Bob" (Red) Kenny, while Kenny was attorney general for California, was disqualified by Federal Referee in Bankruptcy Benno M. Brink from appearing on behalf of clients, who were members of Christ's Church of the Golden Rule. Olney, having been eliminated from the Atty. Gen.'s office with the election of Fred Howser, managed to acquire five clients with whom he entered into a watertight contract **that they could not use their own judgment in how he was to proceed** to stop the Plan of Arrangement now being negotiated in Brink's court for the termination of the bankruptcy proceedings.

Olney was one of the prime movers in the original action to dissolve the Church by having Arthur L. Bell removed from his office as sole Trustee of the Church and in his stead one of Kenny's former law partners appointed, a Mr. Allen, who subsequently created the bankruptcy situation. Because Olney had been attorney for the "prosecution", according to the rules of the Bar Association, he could not now appear as attorney for the "defense", otherwise Referee Brink could have been accused by members of the Bar for countenancing what is commonly known as "shyster" methods in his court. Referee Brink protested that he could

not expose himself and his court to such criticism.

* * * *

THE REFEREE: Mr. Olney, do I understand from your testimony that prior to the time that Judge Preston came into the case, you and your associates in the Attorney General's office were concerned with the welfare of the "Loyal Associates" of the corporation here?

MR. OLNEY: We were, your Honor, but no more so than with the welfare of them all.

THE REFEREE: All right, and you were also, if I undertand your testimony, helpful in prosecuting the claims of the "dissenters". Is that correct?

MR. OLNEY: That is quite correct. And felt that in doing so we were benefiting everybody; that is all the members; that is the loyal as well as the "dissenters".

THE REFEREE: Well, yes, go ahead.

MR. OLNEY: What I was going to say, this use of the term "dissenters" and "loyal" members always seemed to me as slightly deceptive and somewhat of a misnomer. The only difference between the "loyal" and "dissenting" members are those who recognize the fraud that has been perpetuated by Mr. Bell. There is no substantial difference in their legal rights that I can see.

THE REFEREE: Yes, the thing that caused me to ask the question that was just asked, to one of Judge Preston's questions, you said first of all, you were concerned with stopping this fraud and secondly to salvage as much as we could for those who had become members and associated themselves in one way or another with the Church; and also, I think in the letter you read from Mr. Linn, you said among other things in effect that you have been representing these people and that is what you have been doing. You have been representing what you thought to be the best interests of these people.

I am somewhat in doubt, gentlemen, about my authority here — jurisdiction here. I don't think there is any question but what Mr. Olney should not continue on the litigation which he has initiated here on behalf of his present clients. I am wondering a bit whether I have any jurisdiction here to say to him that he should not do so or whether the proper proceeding is one like one in which Judge Preston rendered his decision. However, in summing it all up in my own mind, bankruptcy is a court of expedition. It is a court operating on equitable principles. It is a court designed to handle the particular things assigned to it by the statutes.

It seems to me that in a situation such as we have here, where there seems to be no doubt as to what ought to be done, and should be done, that the Referee should have jurisdiction to do whatever is necessary in order to promptly and expeditiously clear the record. And therefore I am going to conclude that I do have jurisdiction to say to Mr. Olney that in the judgment of this court he is disqualified from representing the clients whom he now represents.

WHO IS GORING THE OX?

I will say this; if Mr. Olney appeared only to attend to the individual interests of the persons whom he now represents, that is to see to it that they individually received their proper proportion of the assets of this estate, we probably would find nothing here to complain about. But Mr. Olney is going much farther than that. He tells us that many of the people we call the "loyal" people are stooges; that they are being led around; someone is exerting influence over them. **Yet we have the frank statement on his part that he accepted this employment only on the explicit understanding and conditions that he was the boss.** His clients would have nothing whatsoever to say as to the course which he would pursue. They placed themselves absolutely in his hands to do what he pleased; even so far that they themselves could not decide whether they wanted to quit by accepting a compromise. So Mr. Olney isn't here representing only the interests of the 5 whom he technically represents—as a matter of fact I think he said it himself. I think he said it in a letter to Mr. Franse that it must be understood that what he did would probably prove to be beneficial to the body as a whole.

Now I think that is where the disqualification comes in. There was a time in this case when Mr. Olney unquestionably was serving what he believed to be the best interests of those who composed this entity when it first got into litigation. Whether we now call them "Loyalists" or whether we call them "Dissenters" or what not, Mr. Olney was representing their interests; not directly of course as their interests; representing their interests as one of the Attorneys General of this State and in that work he came in contact with these people. He talked to them —secured information from them; which of course he still has.

Now we have this situation where this body of people which composed this entity when it came in, which is now broken into two distinct groups—the majority of each group—if the court understands it—the substantial majority of each group have said, "We want to be through. We want to get what we can get. We want this plan of arrangement confirmed. Maybe it isn't the best we could do. Maybe if we kept on fighting we would get more, but that isn't what we want."

CARRYING WATER ON BOTH SHOULDERS

Now, Mr. Olney comes in and tries to prevent that. It isn't so much a question of whether he is going to use information which he secured when he was on the same side of the case as these people are. It is a situation where he is now stepping in and taking a **definitely adverse position to the people whom he once served** when he was Assistant Attorney General of this State, and I believe that that definitely disqualifies him and that in the bankruptcy proceeding, as I have said, the court should expeditiously make such orders as are necessary in order to clear that situation.

Now, again, if Mr. Olney were representing a substantial number of people here we might have a different situation. But over and over again Mr. Olney himself, today, has talked about a group of eight hundred people. We find that he is authorized to speak by five people; by five of eight hundred. Perhaps that isn't true. The sole thing we are considering here is whether he is disqualified. I think he is definitely disqualified. I think **he should be restrained** by this court from proceeding further with the litigation, which is now before the court. I would like to say this. I think the court should say it. Who is there to say that Mr. Olney is absolutely wrong? It may be that all of the frauds that Mr. Olney believes were permitted were in fact committed. It may be that if the members here—the "loyal" members and the "dissenters" were willing to carry on with litigation through this year and next year and maybe the year after, a much larger sum of money or much more property would be available for distribution than we now have, but that isn't the thing which we are here to decide. The thing is that the substantial majority of these people want to see this plan of arrangement confirmed.

Mr. Olney at one time was on their side. He now steps over to the other side and wants to **do everything he can to prevent these people from having their way.** By doing that he is disqualified. Mr. Olney is restrained from acting as Attorney any further in this matter for the people whom he now represents.

(To Be Continued)

—————o0o—————

Gentlemen:

$4.00 enclosed to renew my subscription to THE BROOM.

I want your paper even if I do have to cut down on the beans.

Very truly yours, R. M.

Editor's Note: Subscribers are requested to state date of expiration, which is printed on address label, when renewing. It saves me lots of work.

—————o0o—————

Dear Mr. de Aryan:

Enclosed a check for $4 for one year's subscription to THE BROOM. Keep up the good work. Thanks for the help you are giving Mr. Bell and his Church.

Sincerely yours, P. A. K.

—————o0o—————

The Ford Peace Ship

By ELLIS O. JONES

(Concluded from last week)

Several hours later, as we gathered at the railroad station, the question on everybody's lips was: "Where's Mr. Ford?" But nobody could answer. No doubt one or two of Ford's executives knew, but to all others, including the news-hawks, it was a profound secret. Soon, however, we were to learn that he had taken the train across the mountains to Bergen, there to sail for America. What an ignominious exit! Later, in a magazine article, he had the effrontery to use the phrase: "When I was abroad and saw the war at first hand . . . ." What nonsense! Saw the war at first hand from a hotel window in bleak sub-zero Oslo, indeed! He could have seen it much better from a window in Detroit, or as well.

While that was the last of Ford's personal contact, it wasn't the last of the expedition. Funds were still available and, subject to increasing disagreements and vicissitudes, it continued on its schedule to Stockholm, to Copenhagen, thru Germany in a sealed train to the Hague, whence the greater part of the company, now much reduced thru defections of various kinds, sailed for home from Rotterdam, January 15, 1916.

Of the small number remaining behind, emissaries were sent to Spain and Switzerland to secure delegates to the Neutral Conference, while the others returned to Stockholm where the Conference was to sit. The conference struggled along one way and other for about half a year, with steadily decreasing cooperation from the Ford home organization and none at all from Ford himself, and then definitely ceased with nothing to show by way of accomplishment.

PURELY A PUBLICITY STUNT

Was the project a success? Considered from a cold business standpoint, advertising experts will tell you that it was definitely successful. They will say that for a reputed expenditure of around a half million dollars, Ford got publicity worth several times that to his business. Ford was an adept at getting that kind of publicity and up to that time had spent surprisingly little in direct paid advertising.

To answer whether it was a success in other ways involves many factors and many facets. It was certainly a noble gesture in behalf of a noble ultimate purpose. Had Ford persistently carried thru with the enthusiasm with which he launched the effort, bringing fully to bear his immense resources and exceptional organizing ability, it is easy to believe he could have accomplished great immediate and recognizable good. But that is beside the point. As a matter of fact, it failed insofar as concerns both the superficial and absurd slogan "out of the trenches before Christmas", and the effect of the Neutral Conference upon the nations at war. As to that, any other result could not have been expected by those who have studied the causes of wars. As there have been no other "Peace Ships", we have nothing of the kind with which to compare, unless we liken it to Wilson's post-war peace ship which sailed exactly three years later to the day, which resulted in the monstrous Treaty of Versailles now seen to be one of the most woeful failures of all time. But if we compare it with warships of all times, we are warranted in asserting that it was as successful in advancing the general welfare as any of them if not more so. Ford's sincere pacifist effort to shorten World War I was no more of a failure than Roosevelt's hypocritical effort to shorten World War II. Getting the boys "out of the trenches by Christmas" by peaceful means was not a bit more ridiculous than Roosevelt's riot of bloodshed and destruction to bring the "four freedoms, everywhere in the world". Certainly that has been a failure. Ford reported that before deciding upon the Peace Ship, he talked it over with President Wilson saying he would not go thru with it if Wilson objected. Wilson did not object, but said he didn't see "how it could do any harm and might do some good". One can't say as much for any of Roosevelt's warships.

There have of course been idle and unsubstantiated rumors connected with the Ford Expedition. These mostly have to do with Rosika Schwimmer. It has been asserted that she was a spy acting in behalf of Germany. It has also been claimed that this Jewess was largely responsible for Ford's exposure of "The International Jew" more than half a decade later. No evidence has been brought forward in support of either of these rumors. There is no evidence that Schwimmer was anything more than a fanatical suffragist, pacifist and career woman in general. Nor is there any evidence that the Jews were interested in promoting peace at that time; rather were they to be found among the profiteering war-mongers as usual. They do not care how many Gentiles kill each other so long as they can get the contracts for making the uniforms, etc. No doubt, however, that the Jewess Schwimmer was responsible for an inordinate number of Jews in the ship's company, but these were interested only to get a free ride and enjoy a hilarious junket at Ford's expense. It may be that the Rasputin-like Dean Marquis may have used the Jews motif somewhat in dissuading Ford from continuing his support, but there can be little doubt that Ford's attitude toward the Jew arose from his business contact with national and international Jew financiers on numerous occasions. This is fully developed in his book "The International Jew", parts of which have just been reprinted in a small booklet. (Write: Don Lohbeck, P. O. Box 8, Harper Station, Detroit 13, Michigan. Single Copy, 50 cents.)

The above is not intended in any way to be a defense of Rosika Schwimmer. She was a despotic type of arrogant female, self-centered and conceited beyond all reason, but with considerable superficial culture and some sense of humor. Her value to the venture consisted largely in her fluency in several languages and a comprehensive knowledge of European affairs. It cannot in any sense be said, however, that she was responsible for the failure of the expedition. If it is deemed to have been a worthy project, then she is entitled to some at least of the credit of initiating and organizing it. If it was not a worthy project, then in the same way, some of the discredit must attach to her. On the whole I should say from rather intimate knowledge that treatment she received from Ford was worse than any he received from her.

Editor's Note: It would be most revealing if some of Mr. Ford's intimates were to assemble and compare notes on the influence of Mrs. Ford that shaped the life of this man. I suspect the answer would be plain why he sold out to the Jews, just when he needed a little ideal encouragement.

THE BROOM

The American National Weekly
"NOT AFRAID TO TELL THE TRUTH"
Subscription $4.00 per year; $1.00 for 3 months
FRED de ARYAN, Owner
C. LEON de ARYAN, Editor
P. O. Box 349, East San Diego 5, Calif.
Declared a legal newspaper of general circulation for the County and City of San Diego, in California, by Superior Court Order, January 15, 1932.
Entered as second class matter March 27, 1931, at the postoffice of San Diego, California, under the Act of March 3, 1879.

The Sun Work Shop

Good
THOUGHT

Right WORD — Right DEED

The Image of God in Our Heart

Dear Mr. de Aryan:

THE BROOM, and that is you, of course, has been a great help to me—ever I send to Mrs. H. a silent "thanks" that she introduced THE BROOM and myself.

The Ford article was especially good. Some one has said that a man can miss greatness by an inch—Ford did, and you showed it with startling clarity.

Another article: **Where is the Jewish Home-Land?** Someway it caused me to know that you have a clearer understanding of "Christian" than any one I have heard. Americans have such a superficial understanding, which act we are recognizing. I, for one, am facing that fact and crave more light.

"What is Free-Masonry?" expresses the deeper meaning of that movement, but as you say that deeper sense is not the point of "attack" by WOMEN'S VOICE.

In an article dealing with Mrs. Dilling and Mrs. Van Hyning, re: **Henry George,** you explained the Henry George doctrine of taxation and economics as few have been able to do.

Until I read THE BROOM, meat-eating had never had any meaning for me—now no longer can I eat it. Would you recommend a book, if there is one, that will give me the foundation on this subject, what to eat, etc.?

Sincerely, LEARNER.

* * * *

My Dear Friend Learner:

Thanks for the lovely letter you wrote. I am sorry I cannot offhand recommend any specific book as your guide for vegetarianism. At one time I was naive enough to recommend Dr. Hanish's **Encyclopedia of Dietetics and Cook Book** but experience has taught me its unreliability since he modified the original scientific outlines to accommodate the female morons of his following who were unable to grasp scientific principle. Thus the book is unscientific. You can procure it from the Mazdaznan Press, 1159 So. Norton ave., Los Angeles. He modified it on the basis that meat-eaters have committed such insane abuses against their own minds and bodies that going even half way towards sanity is progress. It does contain many valuable hints and recipes, but I will not be responsible for calling it scientifically foolproof.

Another source of information that you may contact is THE AMERICAN VEGETARIAN, a monthly published at Pismo Beach, California. By using common sense, as well as in the application of the information contained in the Cook Book by Dr. Hanish, you will be able to contact vegetarian people in your own city, literature and stores. But I have to forewarn you that Jews control even here. There is no substitute for common sense. Everywhere, everything, is being exploited and commercialized, or **played down to the moronic level.**

The change-over from meat-eating to vegetarian is not a simple matter. It takes from 21 to 42 years to accomplish the feat. Our whole present "civilization" is based in slave labor and ferocious violence. Our "taxpayers" are peons who are being robbed by the government for the benefit of a specific group of land holders. Physically the world lives on the slaughter of animals, as well as exploitation of animal slave labor and even perversion of nature, producing mules for slave labor.

To become a vegetarian is not merely to abstain from eating meat, but much more: change the whole concept of living from the brute to the Christ concept of compassion and respect for the rights of all beings, animal as well as human.

Those who have studied Christian Science or any other method of spiritual devotion to the ideal of the Christ-Man, have a foundation which they now may apply. Whenever I am asked to help someone to become a vegetarian, I call attention that the diet is a small part of the whole procedure. Why shall we not eat meat? Because it violates the Plan of the Creator according to which we are made in His image and likeness. Where is that image? In our heart.

The divine entity, the Son of God, the Christ, of the same essence as our Sire, God, incarnates at the instant of conception by taking over control of the sperm and the ovum, supervising the growth of the fetus and operating in this body thereafter. I, You, is this divine entity. It resides in our heart, a tiny cell which gives forth a spark, is a dynamo, the pace-maker that induces the heart to pulsate. In that tiny cell, which takes no nourishment from the body because it is divine, is imprinted the perfect outline of the person which is incarnate in the body. Every organ is outlined there, as is the picture which you see projected upon the silver screen outlined in the film of the projecting machine.

What appears in the physical body is nothing

but the reflex of that living film. And as the focus in the projector lens is just a pin-point wherein the whole film is concentrating its rays, so in this divine pin-point-fire in the heart, the whole individual, as well as all his life-impulses, is focused **before it appears in matter.**

If you will bear in mind that the Plan of God is perfect, then there will be no contradiction between all that which is lovely, noble, intelligent, compassionate, considerate and the needs of your body. On the contrary: to the extent that you modify your habits to respond to nobility rather than brutality, to that extent the physical organism responds better to the divine picture of the Christ in your heart and you will enjoy better health.

Unless one bases one's change-over to vegetarianism on this LOVE of the Ideal which God implanted in our hearts, the change-over for the belly's sake: to gain better health, is short of the chief ingredient that will lead you aright.

The burning desire to live as God intends us, NOW, this desire and constant prayer, day and night, will bring you in touch with people and produce all the means of information you shall ever need.

It is doing so in my case and it works in every case.

While this is the foundation on which you build the new Temple not made by hands, I shall be very glad to respond to your needs as time developes.

Sincerely, KOSTI

—o0o—

Dear Fred:

I've missed a couple of BROOMS. Maybe my subscription has run out. Enclosed $2.

I like your inquiring ways. Only by seeking for the truth can we learn. There seems so much good to be located. Plenty is buried to protect all sorts of rackets and keep "the masses" ignorant or is it—"them asses" in halters—

Sincerely, M. G.

—o0o—

Dear Editor:

Send a copy of THE BROOM to each of the enclosed addresses. Find $3 to cover expense.

Pa.

—o0o—

INVESTING FOR PRESS FREEDOM

Dear Friend:

Because of your urgent appeal in THE BROOM for funds to continue its publication, am renewing my subscription now, six months in advance ($10).

About 3 years ago I first saw and read a copy of your fearless paper and at once sent in a subscription for only six months, imagining such a publication would surely be silenced shortly.

More power to you!

Sincerely, W. E.

* * * *

Dear W. E.:

Thanks for the $10. This paper has not been silenced, though God knows the Jews and the Commies did all they could and still do, because I have FAITH in the mission of the Aryan race upon this planet and exercise it. As a result people, like yourself, who know that I am walking a tight-rope every day and cannot engage in extraneous activities to make money and finance THE BROOM, have been sending in donations. With the advent of this "recession" now everyone who knows what it means will budget themselves so that a portion of their income will go regularly to maintain this voice that must speak up for Aryan Principles of Life if America is to survive as a Republic. Everybody pays taxes to finance the Jews, Communists and Socialists here and abroad, and militarize our country for peonage to the oil exploiters and userers. Unless they also "invest" a portion of their means for **maintenance of American ideals of Life,** they naturally need not expect to survive the present trend of Truman indoctrination.

Sincerely, KOSTI

—o0o—

Editor, THE BROOM:

I am herewith enclosing $10 for one year's subscription. W. G. E.

—o0o—

Dear Friend:

I see my subscription is about out so find enclosed check for five dollars ($5.00) for renewal for another year.

I enjoy reading the truth so much that I can't afford to be without your paper.

I received my publications on the FEPC law and thanks for the same.

Yours truly, B. W.

—o0o—

A move is on foot in Congress to define the Communist Party as no political party after all, but a criminal conspiracy to overthrow our government.

"Constipation is one of the greatest causes of disease, misery, inefficiency and premature senility, and should be most earnestly combatted," says Dr. Kellogg of Battle Creek Sanitarium fame.

Colon Therapy, Tox-Eliminator

3023 W. 6th Street

Los Angeles — DR-6833

Jewish "Home-Land" in Russia

Dear Sir:

I received today enclosed clipping: Blame Put on U. S. for Food Price Rise.

A University professor, now in California, wrote a letter to my brother-in-law stating that: "These certain wealthy refugees are German Jews with their pockets full of money."

The Jews have no "homeland", no nationality, for 5000 years they have invaded other peoples' countries, impoverishing the natives and getting all the wealth. They are organized. Will the Christians ever wake up and demand that the Jews build up a country of their own, run it and do all the work themselves, street digging is one of the works. Parasites they are!

"The Morgenthau Pact of Hate and Murder" (Saturday Evening Post) is in full power in Washington. In fact it seems that **is** our foreign policy!!

If you feel inclined, mention the food condition and Mr. Andresen's (R-Minn.) reaction. You know best. Again I did not get my BROOM this week, the P. O. is tracing it. As long as a BROOM is possible in this country there is hope.

With admiring interest, L. E. C.

Editor's Note: Everybody hollers about the poor homeless Jews. But nobody notices that Russia has plenty of space and can use all the workers it can get. Why don't the Jews all migrate to their homelands in Russia?!

* * * *

BLAME PUT ON U. S. FOR FOOD PRICE RISE

Washington,—Representative August H. Andresen (R-Minn.) declared that "the Truman administration is responsible for the inflationary spiral in food prices."

"The government itself has become the greatest gambler in grain," Andresen added in a speech prepared for House delivery. Grain and other food prices have been driven up, he contended, "by reckless and ill-timed buying for shipment abroad."

The Minnesotan, a member of the House Agriculture Committee, said, "my investigation discloses that **certain wealthy refugees,** who are enjoying the bounty and hospitality of the United States, and who are not required to pay income taxes on speculative gains, were among the leading speculators in the recent bull market in grains."

Andresen announced that he will ask the House Ways and Means Committee to amend "our income tax laws so that all foreigners will pay the same taxes as assessed against American citizens."

—o0o—

MORGENTHAU PLAN WORKS EVEN IN U. S. A.

Dear Mr. de Aryan:

Enclosed check for sales as listed. Money is going short. Most German-Americans send so many parcels abroad that even restaurants here have only a few customers. Also some are out of work already.

Sincerely yours,

EUGEN BRAND.

* * * *

Dear Mr. Brand:

Thanks for check. Well, they planned it that way. The Morgenthau Plan works even in America. I forewarned Mr. Mertig two years ago of exactly this situation.

Sincerely, C. LEON de ARYAN.

—o0o—

Dear Mr. de Aryan:

Enclosed $10 in response to your call for "tithes". Sincerely, Mrs. A. J. D.

—o0o—

When Psychiatrists say, "He's insane," all his legal rights vanish.

—o0o—

Zionist Lies Exposed

For complete leaflet write to League for Peace with Justice in Palestine, P. O. Box 228, Sta. F, New York 16, N. Y.

Editor's Note: This is not a paid Adv.

(Continued)

"They grope in the dark without light". Job, 12:25.

Increasing "anti-Semitism" (anti-Judaism) throughout the world, and in the United States more particularly, is due largely to Zionist activities. Zionists believe that a sovereign Jewish state with its Jewish ambassadors, Jewish armed forces and a full Jewish complement of enforcement agencies will create prestige and power sufficient to exert its effective influence on behalf of citizens of the Jewish faith in all other countries where "anti-Semitism" (anti-Judaism) raises its head. Zionists must know that "anti-Semitism" (anti-Judaism) is feeding on the universal resentment to the news about Zionists activities reported in the daily newspapers throughout the world. Americans of the Jewish faith easily can judge for themselves whether Zionists have made any contribution to the eradication of "anti-Semitism" (anti-Judaism) in the United States. In the Arab states, in the Orient, in Africa and throughout the British Empire, containing more than 75% of the world's population, where "anti-Semitism" (anti-Judaism) was scarcely known, in the accepted sense of the word, it is today intense and due to Zionist activities. "Anti-Semitism" (anti-Judaism) has almost become the accepted policy of nations and governments. Zionists are performing an incalculable disservice to the 92% of the population of Americans of the Jewish faith (including millions of un-hyphenated Americans of Polish, Lithuanian, Galician, Ukrainian, Russian and Rumanian birth or origin) who feel no dual-allegiance or divided-loyalty to any country other than the United States. These Americans of the Jewish faith are in the preponderant majority but remain inarticulate. They wisely avoid the Zionist "economic means" to "silence persons" and the "character assassination" of which Mr. Arthur Hays Sulzberger spoke. In their hearts they feel as did Mr. Jacob H. Schiff, a great American humanitarian and banker, of the Jewish faith, who, in his famous letter to Prof. Solomon Schechter of the Jewish Theological Seminary, said:

"Speaking as an American, I cannot for a moment concede that one can be at the same time a **true American** and an **honest adherent of the Zionist movement.** They who believe and hope and labor for an ultimate restoration of Jewish political life and the reestablishment of the Jewish nation place a prior lien upon their citizenship which if there would be a possibility for their desire and plans to become effective would prevent them from maintaining allegiance to the country of which they now claim to be good citizens . . . if those who come after us are to be freed from the **prejudice from which this generation is not unnaturally suffering,** we need feel that politically no one has any claim upon us but the country of which, of our own free will, we have become citizens, that even if we are **Jews in faith** there are no strings to our citizenship."

(To Be Concluded)

FISH OF ONE FOWL OR THE OTHER

PETITION

To the Honorable Members of the House of Representatives of the United States, in Congress assembled:

Gentlemen:

We, the undersigned citizens, protest against the continuation of the nonsensical policy initiated by the American Military Tribunal at Nuremberg, under which twenty-four leading German scientists who headed the I. G. Farbenindustrie are now being tried for a policy identical with that which the present administration of the U. S. is itself putting in force—a policy under which we "import" thousands of German scientists for the perfecting of aggressive future Atomic Warfare.

Respectfully submitted,

105 names so far signed.—KURT MERTIG.

* * * *

PETITION

To the Honorable the Members of the Senate and the House of Representatives of the U. S. In Congress assembled:

Gentlemen:

We, the undersigned citizens, protest against the "do nothing" policy of the United States which permits Russia's continued violations of the Potsdam Agreement and her retention as **slave labor** of twelve million German civilians and prisoners of war, in total disregard of the Geneva Convention and in complete abandonment of the humanitarian practice and attitude of our own government and people for 160 years.

Respectfully submitted,

300 names so far signed.—KURT MERTIG.

—o0o—

"There is no such thing as a medical science."
—Prof. Magendie, France.

Marshall under Obligation To Rockefellers

It didn't make the social columns, but Secretary of State Marshall has just held his first dinner party after returning from Moscow, and the guest list indicates that some old wounds are healed.

The dinner was held in **the home Nelson Rockefeller has loaned Marshall.** Guests included: Gen. Bedell Smith, U. S. Ambassador to Moscow; Mr. and Mrs. Jack McCloy, former assistant secretary of war, now head of the world bank; and last but not least, the former Secretary of State and Mrs. Byrnes.

—Drew Pearson.

—x—

Radioactive Rain Called Most Perilous Atom Effect

SAN DIEGO, — Radioactive rain was described by two Bikini scientists as the most dangerous apparent effect of the atomic explosions.

Dr. Marston Sargent and Dr. Walter H. Munk, Scripps Institution of Oceanography experts, spoke before a meeting of Fellows of the San Diego Society of Natural History. They described their experiences as part of the scientific staff during the atomic tests.

"There were no special immediate effects from the explosion," Dr. Sargent observed. "Palm trees were not blown down nor were there shoals of dead fish."

The effects developed later and the most deadly was the radioactive water blown into the heavens which later descended in rain.

The scientists said that if the bomb had been set off near San Diego, the prevailing ocean winds would have blown the mist inland, making large sections of the city uninhabitable.

Some weeks after the Bikini blasts, dead fish began to appear. Thousands of specimens were sent back to the United States for further study.

—x—

Editor:

Enclosed is a $2.00 money order to pay for some back copies of THE BROOM, Apr. 14th, 21st and 28th. Give me an equal number of each issue down along the line making sure to withhold postage.

They are talking about cutting income taxes but it will not save us much unless they give us back our former exemptions of $1,000.00 for single men, $2,500.00 for husband & wife. Mine right now is a lousy $500.00. I can thank Roosevelt and the money changers who are now running America for their own private benefit.

We are known in Europe as United Saps of America. (U. S. A.) Another good one would be United Suckers of America.

Our Jew controlled Statesmen and Bureaucrats are treating us as though we are all a bunch of damned fools and morons. Most of the working class is just that or they would vote the Zionist Sanhedrin Republican and Democratic gang out and elect the Greenback Party which is the real Abraham Lincoln Party which will give us representation and not misrepresentation which we now get.

The money system we now have is England's based on National Debt so how in hell can we retire it or pay it up, it just cannot be done. It can be done only by our Congress taking over the nation's monetary system issuing debt free money, but this Jew controlled crowd in Washington, D. C. will never free us from the grip of the money changers.

Yours truly, J. F. LEE.

LEGAL ADVERTISEMENT

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA in and for the County of San Diego

No. 138725

MADELEINE C. BLACKWELL Plaintiff vs. THOMAS J. BLACKWELL Defendant

SUMMONS

Action brought in the Superior Court of the State of California in and for the County of San Diego, and the Complaint filed in said County of San Diego, in the office of the Clerk of the Superior Court.

The People of the State of California Send Greeting: To THOMAS J. BLACKWELL, Defendant.

You Are Hereby Directed to Appear and answer to a Complaint in an action entitled as above, brought against you in the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the County of San Diego, within ten days after the service on you of this summons — if served within this County; or within thirty days if served elsewhere.

And you are hereby notified that unless you appear and answer as above required, the said Plaintiff will take judgment for any money or damages demanded in the Complaint, as arising upon contract or will apply to the Court for any other relief demanded in the Complaint.

(SEAL OF SUPERIOR COURT)

Given under my hand and seal of the Superior Court of the County of San Diego, State of California, this 25th day of February, 1947.

J. B. McLEES, Clerk.

By W. J. MORGAN, Deputy.

John Coker, Attorney at Law, Bank of America Bldg, San Diego, Calif., Attorney.

Dates of publication March 24, 31; April 7, 14, 21, 28; May 5, 12, 19, 26; 1947.

LEGAL ADVERTISEMENT

NOTICE TO CREDITORS

No. 38757.

Estate of Lovina A. Hixson, Deceased.

Notice is hereby given by the undersigned, Cynthia A. Wheatcraft as the Administratrix of the Estate of Lovina A. Hixson, Deceased, to the creditors of and all persons having claims against the said deceased, that within six months after the first publication of this notice, they either file them with the necessary vouchers in the office of the Clerk of the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the County of San Diego, or present them with necessary vouchers to the said Cynthia A. Wheatcraft at her place of business to-wit, 218-219 Broadway Building, San Diego, California.

Signed: Cynthia A. Wheatcraft, Administratrix of the Estate of Lovina A. Hixson, deceased.

Dated at San Diego, California, this 20th day of May, 1947.

Arthur T. French, Attorney for said Administratrix.

First Publication May 26, 1947.

Final Publication June 16, 1947.

Dead Wrong

NATIONAL MADHOUSE

By MADISON MONROE

TWO CASES ON APPEAL

WASHINGTON,—The Pelley case is in the Appeal court here solely on the point of determining what Federal District is qualified to consider certain matters which Mr. Pelley's attorney wants to present on his behalf.

The mass "sedition" case is in the Appeal court because the Department of Justice under the Jew-kissing Tom Clark appealed from the decision of Justice Laws dismissing the case. Already over 70 various documents, motions, briefs, etc., have been filed in this case and it is expected that a hearing in open court will be held the latter part of May after which a decision should follow soon.

Tom Clark was the principal speaker the other night at a banquet of a national convention of the B'nai B'rith and Anti-Defamation League.

* * * *

JUST A PASSING THOUGHT

"What's the gag?" a bewildered Washingtonian asked me the other day.

"What's what gag?" I asked in return.

"Look!" says he. "You remember Harry Hopkins and Franklin Roosevelt don't you, both New Dealers?"

I told him I thought I did remember them all too well.

"Well, you thought they were pretty bad, didn't you? And you thought Harry Truman would be better, didn't you? And you thought it would be still better when a Republican Congress was elected, didn't you? Well, is it better? Look, Harry Hopkins proclaimed the slogan, 'Spend and spend and spend', didn't he? Yes, he did, and a lot of us kicked, but at least we'll have to admit that a lot of the money was spent on things in this country, public buildings, etc. But now what have we got? A Republican Congress avowedly in favor of 'save and save and save', but what little saving we see is on things in our own country, while they still continue the policy of 'spend and spend and spend' in the form of 'give and give and give' to Tom, Dick and Ikie all over the world. Every time you pick up a paper you see something about a new handout for relief or blackmail or something else. Billions for foreigners, but damn little for GI housing and teachers' salaries and other needed public improvements here at home."

"Maybe you have something there," I admitted.

"It's just a passing thought," he said as he walked away shaking his head.

* * * *

UN-AMERICAN ANTI-DEFAMATION LEAGUE

"When will the House Committee on Un-American Activities get around to investigating the Anti-Defamation League of B'nai B'rith?" is a question on many lips hereabouts.

This was not to be expected so long as the Committee was under the control of New Deal Democrats, but with the advent of the Republican-controlled congress many thought there was a chance that this most Un-American of all groups in our country today would be shown up to the public for what they really are and brought to the bar of justice for their subversive and conspiratorial machinations. But those who know the situation here believe that such a chance is quite remote.

Chairman Parnell Thomas is the chief stumbling block. He knows as well as anyone the connection of Anti-Defamation League with Communism, but he carefully protects one while pretending to be against the other. Dozens of people have brought to his attention the splendid exposure of the "Anti-Defamation League", written by Major Robert H. Williams, and published by Closer-Ups (Box 711, Hollywood, Calif.) but he does nothing. On the contrary, whenever in the hearings of the committee, Mr. Rankin or anyone else tries to inject the word "Jew", Thomas gets all flustered and tries to gag him. He gives the impression of just a cheap political tight-rope-walker using this whole "Un-American" idea for what publicity there is in it, publicity he can profitably use in his coming campaign for Governor of New Jersey.

* * * *

COMMUNISM vs. FASCISM

Congressman McDowell, member of the House Committee on Un-American Activities is having severe labor pains trying to bring forth something plausible in the way of a report on Fascism which will offset and minimize the general hullabaloo about Communism. Of course he is being high-pressured by both the Communists and Jews as well as such half-cocked statesmen as Wright Patman who are trying to make a great big "Fascist" menace out of such insignificant groups as the present Ku Klux Klan and the "Columbians", a group of naive and zealous young chaps who were betrayed and railroaded for a righteous indignation over some of the present-day political iniquities.

Congressman McDowell's whole trouble may be summed up in a brief sentence: There is no such thing as fascism in this country. "Fascism" is merely the generic name that Communists use for everything they don't like. Nobody can give you an intelligent definition of it. Nobody can offer a single individual or a single group that acknowledges the designation. That cannot be said of Communists. Communism may be said to be an abstraction and non-existent, but Communists are concrete and tangible. They are a bunch of liars, deliberate distorters and trouble makers. The Communist Party is an identifiable going concern. Finding themselves on the spot the Communists and Jews are frantic in their efforts to deflect the spotlight to some other target. In the absence of war hysteria, they find these efforts unavailing. "Fascism" was a form of Italianism. It was crushed. "Nazism" was a form of Germanism. It was crushed. What the Jew-Communists are fighting in this country is not "fascism" but Americanism, an Americanism battling to survive under the principles and policies that brought us to our present greatness. Shall we allow this also to be crushed by a satanic cabal of internationalists?

—oOo—

Federal City

Toward Labor Freedom . . . . Taft-Hartley Bill Passes

By WASHINGTON ADAMS

WASHINGTON, May 14 (Special)—Our Federal City is agog over and generally pleased at the Senate's passage of the Labor Reform bill, by the big majority of 68 to 24.

The Democrats split 21 to 21, but the real Republicans were solidly for it. Three New Deal Republicans—Morse, Langer and Malone—joined the minority and voted against it.

The Senate bill, skillfully piloted by Senator Taft, went through with only two important changes and now goes to conference for consolidation with the lower House's stronger and abler measure.

But for the desertion of the Republican banner by 16 supposed Republicans, led by the maverick Ives of New York, the House provision curbing industry-wide bargaining would have won in the Senate on the 7th.

It lost by a vote of 44 to 43. If Ives had remained loyal, it would have passed by 44 to 43. Irving, sometimes called "Crackpot" Ives, has been receiving severe criticism by Republicans in New York, being generally branded as a traitor to the G. O. P.

Twelve of the ablest Democrats, including such true statesmen as Byrd of Virginia, Hoey of North Carolina and O'Daniel of Texas, were among those who supported the curb of industry-wide bargaining, and, with nine other fellow-partisans, joined the Republicans to pass the completed bill.

Although neither bill goes far enough in curbing the slavery, the despotism, tyranny and extortions of the vicious Wagner Act, it is a commendable step forward.

No one who believes in the "old American" way or who understands the basic principles of free government can defend the Wagner despotism or fail to support the Taft-Hartley bill, breaking the shackles from the limbs of labor.

It is to be hoped that the conference will adopt the House provision for banning INDUSTRY-WIDE BARGAINING and forbidding mass-picketing. Both these are atrocities and extortions, incompatible with human liberty.

Why are the Communists so strongly back of industry-wide bargaining? Because they regard it as a step toward ultimate Red centralization of all industry.

It is elemental justice that an employer should deal ONLY with HIS employees and those of no one else.

An employee should not be under the control of a distant labor czar.

To permit a labor ruler or tyrant like John L. Lewis, to control the lives of hundreds of thousands of miners, living hundreds and thousands of miles away, is unjust to the laborer and the public, and gives to the tyrant too much power.

No labor organization, nor even our President, should be allowed to handle MILLIONS OF MONEY without proper checks and balances. **And each should be restricted to ONE TERM IN OFFICE!**

As for mass-picketing, it is simply a form of despotic coercion and extortion, injurious to the employer and unjust to men who wish to work.

The sole legitimate object of picketing is to call attention to the strike and the strikers' grievance. If used to bar other workers from entering the plant, it is a crime at common law, and always has been criminal.

Unless the Taft-Hartley act is approved and enacted, it appears probable that our country will descend into ultimate depression, followed by anarchy and despotism, to say nothing of war and the end of our republic.

Cigarette, Moth

• HOLES REWOVEN - $1 up •

Hayes Mending

2139 El Cajon Blvd. Phone: J - 1145

LIFE IMPRISONMENT FOR EXPOSING COMMUNISTS!

(Continued from last week)

Embroilment of this nation in World War II at last furnished the key to lock the prison gates behind the radicals' chief antagonist!

When war arrived, the sedition laws could be invoked . . to suppress and erase whosoever "undermined confidence" in our executive leadership. Caustic arraignment of our political Judases—even for corrupt or definitely criminal acts — required no proof of overt acts on the part of the arraigner to condemn him forthwith to servitude. Charging corruption or incompetence in high places, no matter how truthful or earnestly done, had the dangerous tendency to disrupt the morale of the man called to fight. That meant aid and comfort to the enemy. All such must be purged. So the real totalitarians, working to change the American way of life, had only to make out a nominal case against their antagonists and war-hysterical juries would unerringly do the rest. They would convict as a matter of reaction, fearing to be tarred with the brush of approval.



Wm. D. PELLEY

Thus the majority of the sedition cases which have been brought in this war by the Department of Justice—certainly the Pelley "sedition" case—have had little or nothing to do with counselling men not to fight, or advocating measures which obstructed hostilities. **They had everything to do with working vindictive scores against crusading antagonists of the Soviet way of life and erasing them punitively with the war as a weapon!**

Before the California Bar Association in December of 1941, Attorney General Biddle remarked in part: "In tense times such as these, a strange psychology grips us. We are oppressed and fearful and apprehensive. If we can't get at the immediate cause of our difficulties we are likely to vent our dammed-up energy on a scape-goat. That sort of psychology is the very essence of totalitarianism. On the other hand, civil liberties are the essence of the democracy we are pledged to protect. Insofar as I can, I intend to see that civil liberties in this country are protected—that we do not fall again into the disgraceful hysteria of witch-hunts, strike-breakings and minority prosecutions which were such a dark chapter in our record of the last World War."

The underlying double-talk was very apparent to Pelley a couple of months later when Biddle's own department struck with a vengeance!

When Pearl Harbor came, Pelley had terminated his chief political publication **Roll-Call** and only resumed publication of further criticisms with Biddle's assurance that constitutional rights of free speech and free press would be protected. **Is it fair to deduce that the very head of the Justice Department, who was under solemn oath to support and defend the Constitution, had resorted to sheer entrapment?**

On April 4, 1942, Pelley was arrested, placed under the "excessive" bond of $15,000 and later indicted for violation of sections 33 and 34 of Title 50 of The United States Code—these sections being popularly known as the "Sedition Law". In an atmosphere of war hysteria it was but routine to "railroad" Pelley to a prison cell for committing the unpardonable "crime" of standing for America, First, Last and Always, under the slogan "For Christ and Constitution".

So determined was the New Deal in making doubly sure that Pelley was silenced once and for all that the Justice Department sent two special assistants to the Attorney General out to Indianapolis, one Henry Schweinhaut and the other Oscar Ewing, then vice-chairman of the Democratic National Committee.

That Pelley's trial could have taken place in these United States, with a citizen's constitutional guarantees, is unbelievable. In Russia, yes!

The cards were "stacked". Pelley was not even permitted to introduce **Congressional Records** in his defense upon which the majority of the "evidence" introduced was premised. "They're only the opinions of a lot of politicians!" ruled Judge Baltzell. Frequently the judge himself took over the questioning with the obvious intent of bolstering the prosecution's case in the eyes of the jury. Pelley's constitutional right to "compulsory process for obtaining witnesses in his favor" was to all intents and purposes denied him. The whole case for the prosecution was built solely on a theory presented by a pro-communist named Lasswell and as such was permitted to be accepted as evidence.

It was a Russian purge trial! The communistic New Deal couldn't muff this opportunity of shutting up Roosevelt's chief critic.

The "prize" piece of evidence introduced by the prosecution was a pamphlet entitled, "We Fight for this Republic Only!" This was the last publication circulated by Pelley, which in substance declared that having been thrust into the war, and under the necessity for giving our all to it, we should never forget that we should be for America first throughout—as Churchill was for Britain and Stalin would be for Russia.

Since such emphasis was placed on its support of the charges, let's look at a brief cross-section of its contents that we may have before us just what constituted "sedition" in the case of Pelley in 1942.

Under the heading "A Platform for Real Patriotism" Pelley led off—

> When a lot of fine, upstanding American lads are on the firing line abroad, battling for the Republic and all they assume it represents, and a horde of incompetent, venal or queasy politicians are playing ducks and drakes with the war at home, using its opportunities to alter subtly our form of government, what course is the outraged but sagacious citizen to take? Shall he whoop it up for the war in the traditional manner of the armchair jingoist or shall he attack those politicians with all the bellicosity at his command? . . It appears to be the characteristic American reaction to embrace course number two. . . Nevertheless, this journal in the instance of its own country, endorses only one policy for our activity in that bedlam—**that is, to fight for this Republic only, and let the rest of the world go where the woodbine eternal twineth.**

(To Be Continued)

—oOo—

AVAK ENDS PREPARATION; TO BEGIN CURE OF VINTER'S EPILEPTIC SON

PALM SPRINGS, — A bearded Armenian youth, the legend of his healing powers following him half way around the earth, moved into the residence of a wealthy vintner to try to cure his epileptic son.

Concluding two weeks of prayer and meditation, during which scores of ailing health seekers already have claimed they were helped by his ministrations, Avak Hagopian, 20, said he would begin today to concentrate on healing Vaughn Arakelian, 37, whom he came here to cure.

Avak was brought here from Teheran by Arakelian's father, Krikor, wealthy head of a California winery, who has spent more than $250,000 in efforts to restore his son's health.

Avak said he will hold periodic open house for hundreds who have flocked to this desert resort hoping for a cure. Every hour, new health seekers arrive.

Mrs. Catherine Geist rode 100 miles in a taxi to reach Avak after flying to California from Atlantic City. She claimed she had been partially paralyzed since a table was dropped on her head. After three visits with Avak, she came out with her cane under her arm and kicked her "paralyzed" leg to demonstrate her cure.

—oOo—

Dear Friend:

The U. S. Postoffice Department was founded to serve the people; but instead it is now using its power to enslave the people.

Write at once to U. S. Senator Bridges (R-N. H.) Washington, D. C., and inform him that the Postoffice Department is spending millions of dollars of the taxpayer's money in its active work of prosecuting, persecuting, liquidating and silencing anti-New Deal publications and their editors.

Urge Senator Bridges to cut this dangerous power by cutting to the bone and then some the appropriation for said department.

See his blast against the department in the press of May 8, 1947.

Very truly yours,
GEORGE N. CLEMENTS.

—oOo—

The only people who are too old to learn are those who have decided they know it all.

2917 University -:- 4314 University

Universal Boot Shops

W. L. Douglas Shoes

America's Best Known Shoes

946 Fifth Ave -:- 1154 Fifth Ave.

"THE PLANNED FAMINE"

Sensational successor to "Nuremberg Trial".

An indictment & conviction by circumstantial evidence for mass-murder by the Victors. Everyone should read it.

Dr. App: "I congratulate you on your splendid pamphlet. It hits the nail on the head. A wealth of data. The start is dramatic."

Dr. DeLand: "It is a magnificent piece of work and shows superior intelligence and courage."

24 pages.

1 copy 20¢; 6 for $1.00; 100 $9.00.

"The Nuremberg Trial" still in demand; an unexcelled review. Each 10¢, 12 $1. 100 $4.50.

A. O. Tittmann, Box 136, Middlebury, Vt.